Grading Rubric for Papers (79-201) Marie Norman, Introduction to Anthropology, Carnegie Mellon University

	Sophisticated	Highly Competent	Fairly Competent	Not Yet Competent
Depth of analysis	Paper goes beyond the assignment to explore the implications of arguments or evidence in new contexts or in particularly thoughtful, insightful, and/or original ways. Paper shows a nuanced grasp of anthropological principles and the ability to apply these principles with facility.	Paper fully meets the parameters of the assignment but does not exceed them. (and/or) Paper demonstrates a good grasp of anthropological principles but some awkwardness applying them.	Paper does not address some aspects of the assignment. (and/or) Paper demonstrates a somewhat shaky grasp of anthropological principles.	Paper does not address the assignment. (and/or) Paper is inconsistent with anthropological principles (i.e. it makes or fails to challenge ethnocentric assumptions.)
Grasp of reading(s)	Paper represents the authors' arguments, evidence and conclusions accurately, fairly and eloquently. Demonstrates a firm understanding of the implications of the author's arguments.	Paper represents the author's arguments, evidence and conclusions accurately.	Paper represents the authors' arguments, evidence and conclusions accurately though not sufficiently clearly. (and/or) There are minor inaccuracies.	Paper badly misrepresents the authors' arguments, evidence, and/or conclusions.
Thesis paragraph	Clearly and eloquently identifies a demonstrable and nuanced central argument. Provides the reader with a clear sense of the nature of evidence that will follow. Reveals the organizational structure of the paper. Guides the reader smoothly and logically into the body of the paper.	Thesis paragraph clearly identifies a demonstrable central argument. Gives the reader a reasonably good sense of the nature of evidence that will follow.	Thesis paragraph identifies a central argument that is demonstrable, though not stated sufficiently clearly. (and/or) Does not guide the reader into the body of the paper.	Thesis paragraph does not have a discernable central argument (and/or) The argument is not demonstrable.
Evidence	Evidence used to support the central point is rich, detailed and well chosen. Evidence sections employ appropriate illustrations and/or quotations. The connection between argument	Evidence used to support the central point is well chosen, though not particularly rich or detailed. The connection between argument and evidence is clearly	Connection between argument and evidence is not clearly articulated in all cases. (and/or) (Where applicable) Consideration of opposing evidence is cursory	Evidence used does not clearly support the main argument. (and/or) (Where applicable) Important opposing evidence is ignored, thereby weakening the central argument.

Eberly Center for Teaching Excellence, Carnegie Mellon University

Grading Rubric for Papers (79-201) Marie Norman, Introduction to Anthropology, Carnegie Mellon University

Conclusion	Introduction to Anthropology, Carnegie Mand evidence is clearly andcompellingly articulated in all cases.(Where applicable) Importantopposing evidence (i.e. evidencethat might seem to contradict yourargument) is considered andconvincingly refuted.Elegantly synthesizes and reframeskey points from the paper.	articulated. (Where applicable) Some opposing evidence is considered and refuted. Synthesizes and brings closure but does not examine new	or the evidence is not convincingly refuted. Restates the same points as the topic paragraph without	Is missing or cursory. (and/or)
	Suggests new perspectives or questions relevant to the central argument, and brings closure.	perspectives or questions.	reframing them. (and/or) Introduces new material rather than new perspectives.	Repeats the topic paragraph more-or-less verbatim.
Organization	 Organization of paper as a whole is logical and quickly apparent. Connections among paragraphs are clearly articulated. Transitions between paragraphs are smooth. Every paragraph makes one distinct and coherent point, expressed in a clear topic sentence; the parts of each paragraph connect logically and persuasively, and internal transitions are smooth. 	Organization of paper as a whole is logical and apparent, but transitions between paragraphs are not consistently smooth. Every paragraph makes one distinct and coherent point and, for the most part, the parts of each paragraph connect logically and effectively. In all but a few cases, the paragraph's point is expressed in a clear topic sentence.	 Organization of the paper as a whole can only be discerned with effort. (and/or) Not all parts of the paper fit the organizational structure. (and/or) Not all the parts of the paper are effectively integrated. In a number of paragraphs, there is not a distinct or coherent point. (and/or) Topic sentences are missing or unclear in a number of paragraphs. (and/or) In a number of paragraphs, the parts do not connect logically. 	Organization of the paper as a whole is not logical or discernable.

Grading Rubric for Papers (79-201)

Marie Norman, Introduction to Anthropology, Carnegie Mellon University

Clarity	Throughout the paper, wording is	Paper is for the most part	Wording is imprecise or	Throughout the paper, wording is
	precise and unambiguous.	precisely worded and	ambiguous fairly often.	imprecise or ambiguous.
		unambiguous.	(and/or)	(and/or)
	Sentence structure is consistently			
	clear and lucid.	Sentence structure is mostly	Sentence structure is often	Sentence structure is consistently
		clear.	confusing.	confusing.
	Quotations are all framed		(and/or)	-
	effectively in the text (i.e. integrated	Quotations are framed		
	properly in terms of both grammar	effectively in the text.	Quotations are not framed	
	and meaning) and explicated where		effectively in the text.	
	necessary.			
Mechanics	Paper is clean and appropriately	There are a few minor spelling	There are a number of spelling	Paper is unacceptably sloppy.
l	formatted.	or grammatical errors.	and grammatical errors.	(and/or)
			(and/or)	
	There are no incomplete or run-on	Quotes are all properly		Quotes are frequently not
	sentences.	attributed and cited.	In a few places, quotes are not	attributed or improperly cited.
			attributed and cited.	
	Quotes are all properly attributed			
	and cited.			
	There are virtually no spelling or			
	grammatical errors.			

COMMENTS: _____

Eberly Center for Teaching Excellence, Carnegie Mellon University